

**LBR Form 7016-1 — PPS**

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

In re: PAUL JOSEPH TANZILLO,  
SUSAN ROSE MARIE TANZILLO,

*Debtor(s)*

EAST LITTLE CREEK BURGERS, LLC,

*Plaintiff(s)  
(Movant(s))*

vs. PAUL JOSEPH TANZILLO,

*Defendant(s)  
(Respondent(s))*

Case No: 19-55350

Adv. Pro. No: 19-02148

Chapter 7

Judge Jeffery P. Hopkins

**PRELIMINARY PRETRIAL STATEMENT**

This is the pretrial statement of: Paul Joseph Tanzillo  
(name of party)

**I. Appearances:**

The trial attorney for: Paul Joseph Tanzillo  
(name of party)

shall be Laura M. Nesbitt, Esq., who is admitted to practice before this Court.

**II. Nature of Action, Jurisdiction and Venue:**

A. This is an action for determination of non-dischargeability and is brought pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 523(a)(6) and (a)(2)(A) and 11 U.S.C. 1738.  
(cite statute(s) and (rule(s)))

B. Jurisdiction of this Court:

- is not disputed and is invoked under 11 U.S.C. 157 and 1334.  
 is disputed.

C. Venue of this Court:

- is proper.  
 is not proper.

D. This action is a:

- core proceeding.  
 non-core proceeding.

E. Core Proceeding:

1. If it is alleged that this is a core proceeding, such allegation is based upon 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2) (I).

2. If it is determined that the bankruptcy judge, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution:

- consent is given for the bankruptcy judge to enter final orders and judgments in the alleged core proceeding.  
 consent is not given for the bankruptcy judge to enter final orders and judgments in the alleged core proceeding.

F. Non-Core Proceeding:

If it is alleged that this is a non-core proceeding:

- consent is given for the bankruptcy judge to enter final orders and judgments in the alleged non-core proceeding.  
 consent is not given for the bankruptcy judge to enter final orders and judgments in the alleged non-core proceeding.

G. Are any of the following motions filed or to be filed:

	Yes	No
1. Abstention	<u>  </u>	<u>X</u>
2. Remand	<u>  </u>	<u>X</u>
3. Withdrawal of the Reference	<u>  </u>	<u>X</u>

H. If a jury demand has been timely filed, consent is/is not given for trial by jury by the bankruptcy judge.

**III. Statement of the Case:**

The evidence will show that Paul Joseph Tanzillo is entitled to judgment  
(name of party)  
because \_\_\_\_\_.

**IV. Amendments/Motions:** (check one)

- (a) The attorney states that all amendments to pleadings and all pretrial motions, including all motions listed above, and all motions pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7012 and Bankruptcy Rule 7056, or motions which convert to a motion under Bankruptcy Rule 7056, have been filed, or

X (b) If all amendments and pretrial motions have not been filed, the attorney states that the following amendments and motions are contemplated; (list or attach as an exhibit the specific amendments and motions and the proposed date(s) by which such will be filed.)

Defendant is preparing a motion for summary judgment

**V. Issues of Fact and Law:**

A. The contested issues of fact are:

Is debt owed to Plaintiff the personal liability of Defendant? If so, is that debt non-dischargeable?  
Defendant states that Plaintiff must first determine liability of Defendant within the proper venue (VA state court) or concede jurisdiction to the bankruptcy court for purposes of that determination.

B. The contested issues of law are:

1. Do Defendant's actions violate Section 43-13 of the Code of Virginia such that Defendant is personally liable to Plaintiff? This is not currently within the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court.
2. Do defendant's actions constitute fraud pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 523(a)(2)(A)?
3. Do Defendant's actions constitute conversion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 523(a)(6)?
4. Is Plaintiff entitled to a non-dischargeable judgment under the doctrine of res judicata?

**VI. Discovery:**

**A. Initial Disclosures.**

The initial disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1) Fed. R. Civ. P. have been or will be made on 1/8/2020.  
(date)

**B. Rule 26(f) Fed.R.Civ.P. Conference.**

The mandatory conference required by Rule 26(f) Fed.R.Civ.P. occurred on 1/8/2020.  
(date)

**C. Discovery Plan.**

In compliance with Rule 26(f) Fed.R.Civ.P., the following constitutes the parties' discovery plan (use separate paragraphs or subparagraphs if parties disagree):

- (a) Discovery will be needed on the following subjects: (brief description of subjects on which discovery will be needed). N/A
- (b) All discovery commenced in time to be completed by (date). [Discovery on (issue for early discovery) to be completed by (date).] N/A
- (c) Maximum of 40 interrogatories by each party to any other party. [Responses due unk days after service.]
- (d) Maximum of 40 requests for admission by each party to any other party. [Responses due unk days after service.]
- (e) Maximum of 7 depositions by plaintiff(s) and 7 by defendant(s).
- (f) Each deposition [other than of N/A] limited to maximum of 1.5 hours unless extended by agreement of parties.
- (g) Reports from retained experts under Rule 26(a)(2) due:

from plaintiff(s) by (date) N/A  
from defendant(s) by (date) N/A

(h) Supplementation under Rule 26(e) due (time(s) or interval(s)). N/A

**(D) Other Agreed Upon Items.** [Use separate paragraphs or subparagraphs as necessary if parties disagree.]

- (a) Plaintiff(s) should be allowed until (date) to join additional parties and until (date) to amend the pleadings. N/A
- (b) Defendant(s) should be allowed until (date) to join additional parties until (date) to amend the pleadings. N/A
- (c) All potentially dispositive motions should be filed by (date). TBD
- (d) The parties ARE amenable to mediation under LBR 9019–2 at the appropriate time.
- (e) The proceeding should be ready for trial by (date) and at this time is expected to take approximately  
N/A hour(s)/trial day(s).

**VII. Stipulations:**

- A. The parties have entered into written stipulations, a copy of which is attached hereto.

**VIII. Miscellaneous Matters:**

**A. Settlement:**

1. No litigant is required to settle this proceeding; however, all litigants are required to engage in good faith settlement efforts which shall consist of at least one offer and one counteroffer by a party authorized to settle this proceeding. Without disclosing the contents of any settlement efforts, all parties shall set forth below: (a) the date(s) such settlement efforts were conducted, (b) the method(s) of communication employed and (c) the result(s) obtained:

12/11/2019 - phone conference - settlement demand made by Plaintiff and rejected.

12/11/2019 - phone conference - settlement counter offer made by Defendant and rejected by Plaintiff on 1/2/2020

2. Any settlement which occurs prior to the trial date shall be communicated promptly to the judge's courtroom deputy. Failure to make such communication may result in the imposition of costs.

**B. Pretrial Conference:**

The Court will order a pretrial conference if review of the Preliminary Pretrial Statement makes such appear necessary. If the Court does not order such a pretrial conference, does the attorney specifically request a pretrial conference? If so, why? Yes. To address the potential of holding the Adversary matter in abeyance to allow the state court matter to be fully litigated. Parties held Rule 26 conference via phone on 1/8/2020.

**C. Other Matters:**

Counsel advises the Court of the following miscellaneous matters which will aid the Court in preparation of the case for trial.

Counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for Defendant have conferred and both agree the issues of fact and law are best addressed in the VA state court matter which was pending at the time the Bankruptcy case was filed. Accordingly, both parties will be requesting that this Adversary matter be held in abeyance to allow the state court matter to be fully adjudicated.  
Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Laura M. Nesbitt  
Laura M Nesbitt (0082629)  
The Nesbitt Law Firm, LLC  
6037 Frantz Road, Suite 102  
Dublin, OH, 43017  
(614) 800-0262  
(614) 808-1627 (fax)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a completed copy of the foregoing preliminary pretrial statement was served by

(method of service or delivery)

upon \_\_\_\_\_  
(name and address of attorney or party served)

this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_.  
*(Handwritten signature)*

Attorney for \_\_\_\_\_

**JOINT FILING CERTIFICATION**

The above preliminary pretrial statement is submitted jointly by the following parties:

Attorney for \_\_\_\_\_

---

Digitized by srujanika@gmail.com

Attorney for \_\_\_\_\_

---

Digitized by srujanika@gmail.com

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

In re:

Paul Joseph Tanzillo  
Susan Rose Marie Tanzillo,  
Debtors.

Case No. 19-55350

Chapter 7

Judge Jeffery P. Hopkins

---

East Little Creek Burgers, LLC,

Plaintiff,

Adv. Proc. No.: 19-02148

v.

Paul Joseph Tanzillo,

Defendant.

---

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 17, 2020 a copy of the foregoing Preliminary Pretrial Statement was serviced on the following registered ECF participants, **electronically** through the court's ECF System at the email addresses registered with the court:

Scott D. Fink, Attorney for the Plaintiff, at [sfink@weltman.com](mailto:sfink@weltman.com)

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Laura M. Nesbitt  
Laura M. Nesbitt (0082629)  
The Nesbitt Law Firm, LLC  
6037 Frantz Rd., Suite 102  
Dublin, OH 43017  
(614) 800-0262 (phone)  
(614) 808-1627 (fax)  
[laura@nesbittfirm.com](mailto:laura@nesbittfirm.com)  
*Counsel for Debtor/Defendant*